1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA * * * 6 7 MICHAEL E. CLARK, Case No. 2:09-CV-141 JCM (BNW) 8 Plaintiff(s), ORDER 9 v. 10 ADRIAN GUERRERO, 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 Presently before the court is plaintiff's pro bono counsel Telia Mary U. Williams, Esq.'s 14 motion to withdraw as attorney. (ECF No. 203). 15 Counsel seeks to withdraw from this matter, because she has "a fundamental disagreement 16 about the way that the case should be handled" with plaintiff. (Id.); see Nev. Rule of Prof'l 17 Conduct 1.16(b) ("a lawyer may withdraw from representing a client if: ... (4) A client insists 18 upon taking action that the lawyer considers repugnant or with which the lawyer has fundamental 19 disagreement; (6) The representation...has been rendered unreasonably difficult by the client; or 20 (7) Other good cause for withdrawal exists."). Specifically, plaintiff insists that counsel take action 21 in this matter beyond the scope of the upcoming evidentiary hearing—a hearing scheduled for this 22 month. (ECF No. 203). 23 Pursuant to plaintiff's consent to the instant withdrawal and counsel's representations, this 24 court finds good cause to grant the instant motion. Plaintiff wishes for the upcoming evidentiary 25 hearing to proceed as scheduled, (ECF No. 203); thus this court will operate accordingly. 26 . . .

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

27

28

Case 2:09-cv-00141-JCM-BNW Document 204 Filed 03/10/21 Page 2 of 2

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that Ms. William's motion to withdraw (ECF No. 203) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED. DATED March 10, 2021.

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge